



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,564	12/01/2	004	Rudolf Thiel	PC10435US 5122		
23122	7590	11/01/2006		EXAMINER		
RATNERI P O BOX 9			KRAMER, DEVON C			
	ORGE, PA 19	482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				3683	3683	
				DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,564	THIEL, RUDOLF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Devon C. Kramer	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MEDICAL STATE STATE STATE AND THE MEDICAL STATE S	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	entember 2006					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	•				
,= ,,						
Application Papers		•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(-/ (/)	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	d.				
	*					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,564

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farr (UK 2041122) in view of Hohnstadt et al (6374939).

In re claim 9-11, 15-16, Farr provides a floating-caliper disc brake of a motor vehicle including a brake holder (2) firmly attached to the vehicle, on which the floating caliper is axially displacably mounted, and including a locking element (5a, 13, 19) for radial fixation of the floating caliper on the brake holder part that is detachably secured to the floating caliper and axially displacably arranged with a portion on the brake holder.

Farr lacks the teaching of the lock device being adjustable in a radial direction through the use of an elongated hole.

Hohnstadt et al teaches an elongated mounting hole to adjust the mounting of a device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the locking device of Farr with an elongated mounting hole as taught by Hohnstadt merely to allow some tolerances in the design and to adjust for

Application/Control Number: 10/516,564

Art Unit: 3683

wear and further since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284

In re claim 12, please note that screws are capable of being unscrewed and detaching the element.

In re claims 13-14, see figure 1.

Response to Arguments

3) Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Farr does not teach a locking element. Please note that the bolt (30) in Farr can be considered a locking element because the presented claims are extremely broad. Further, the claim requires a locking element that fixes the caliper to the holder. Please note that any floating caliper will meet this requirement. What Farr lacks is a teaching of the locking element being adjustable in the radial direction. To cure this the examiner has used the reference to Hohnstadt to teach an elongated mounting hole to provide for adjustability. Please note that it is well known in the mechanical arts to provide elongated mounting holes to provide adjustability to a device. See US 5503252. Please note, in re Stevens, 212 F.2d 197, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954) (Claims were directed to a handle for a fishing rod wherein the handle has a longitudinally adjustable finger hook, and the hand grip of the handle connects with the body portion by means of a universal joint. The court held that adjustability, where needed, is not a patentable advance, and because there was an art-recognized need for adjustment in a fishing rod, the substitution of a universal joint for the single pivot of

Application/Control Number: 10/516,564

Art Unit: 3683

the prior art would have been obvious.). Applicant needs to claim the details of the locking element to overcome the current rejection.

Conclusion

4) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Devon C Kramer **Primary Examiner** Duan 1000

Art Unit 3683

DK